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BRUSSELS IVF STORY

• Start of clinical IVF in January 1983, small team guided by Paul Devroey (the clinician) and André Van Steirteghem (reproductive biologist)

• First IVF Workshop Monash University  July 1982

• Birth of first IVF child November 1983

• Birth of first “ICSI child” January 1992
EUREKA MOMENT OF ICSI
Historical overview on ICSI

• Attempt to answer the following questions:
  • Why did we start?
  • What did we do?
  • What did we find?
  • What does it mean?
Why did we start?

• Louise Brown was born 25 July 1978 (Oldham UK), followed by births in Australia, USA, France, Germany, The Netherlands and also in Belgium (1983)

• Conventional IVF (cIVF), successful for tubal and idiopathic infertility

• Pour outcome of cIVF for male infertility
Why did we start?

• Chances of fertilization and embryo transfer reduced if impaired semen parameters

• Artificial Insemination with Donor sperm (AID) is only alternative treatment
ASSISTED FERTILIZATION

• End of eighties research projects to assist fertilization process

• Zona drilling: success in mice but not in human

• Partial zona dissection: mechanical slit in oocytes, which were then incubated in sperm suspension. Fertilization was observed but monospermic and polyspermic fertilization occurred. Inconsistent clinical results
ASSISTED FERTILIZATION

(a) PZD
Subzonal insemination = SUZI

- Case reports by Ng (Singapore) and Fishel (Rome): Fertilisation and embryo development and a few births
- At VUB decision to invest in SUZI
- But first experimental and preclinical research before clinical application
Subzonal insemination = SUZI
What did we do?

- Project submitted at Fund for Scientific Research – Flanders on experimental SUZI in mice as a model for clinical application in human
- Can the induction of acrosome reaction in mouse sperm (by chemical means or electroporation) result in fertilization and embryo development when one treated sperm cell was injected subzonally?
• Good fertilization and embryo development occurred, normal pups were born after transfer in pseudo pregnant mice and the mice were able to reproduce

• Experimental results made us to consider clinical protocol for patients that had failed several cycles of conventional IVF
• Ethical approval was asked and obtained from Hospital Ethical Committee under certain conditions
• Full information to couples on the new procedure
• Initially in couples with several failed clVF cycles
• Couples accepted thorough follow-up of pregnancies and children born, including prenatal diagnosis and prospective follow-up of children born (joint project with Centre for Medical Genetics)
• Number of pregnancies and births occurred after SUZI of a few spermatozoa, which had been treated to induce acrosome reaction

• SUZI is technically challenging: “Failed SUZI” sometimes noticed, sperm entered cytoplasm of oocyte and normal fertilization and embryo development occurred after “failed SUZI”
• In case of only embryos after “failed SUZI” replacement was done and first such pregnancy occurred in 1991 with birth in January 1992

• Initially SUZI was continued as well as ICSI on a few oocytes. It became rapidly clear that more consistent results were obtained after ICSI

• Ethical approval for ICSI under same conditions as SUZI
• As of July 1992 the only assisted fertilization practiced at VUB was ICSI

• First publication Lancet July 1992 and two large series in Human Reproduction in July 1993

• Articles became “citation classics” and are still among the most cited “Belgian” articles
• Openness to the world showing ICSI in several live & video sessions, hand-on workshops and visitors from overall the world

• Very deliberate attitude following personal experience of 3 years as visiting scientist at NIH and attitude of Monash University and group of Zeilmaier-Alberda in Rotterdam
What did we find?

- ICSI proved to be a consistent treatment for alleviation of severe male infertility including cases of cryptozoospermia.
- ICSI can also be applied with epididymal and testicular sperm, resulting in similar results as ICSI with ejaculated sperm and the results of cIVF for female-factor or idiopathic infertility.
• Sperm can only be found in half of the patients with non-obstructive azoospermia

• If this is the case, the only alternative for these couples is the use of AID
ARE ART CHILDREN HEALTHY?

• Most important parameter
• Since start of IVF few formal and systematic evaluations of childrens’ health
• VUB policy since 1983: prospective follow-up studies of children born after IVF
• Joint venture between Centre for Reproductive Medicine and Centre for Medical Genetics
• ICSI included in studies since the first birth in 1992
• Is ICSI an additional risk factor?
• Procedure more invasive and used sperm is unable to fertilise
• ICSI outcome compared to cIVF and spontaneous conceptions (SC)
• Short- and long-term risks need to be addressed
• Chromosomal abnormalities in prenatal diagnosis: low numbers but in ICSI increase in inherited and de novo abnormalities
• Birthweight similar after IVF and ICSI for singletons, twins and triplets
• Prematurity not higher in singletons
• Major malformation rate slightly higher (around 3%) but no difference between IVF and ICSI
• Similar development at 2 years (Bayley development scales)
• All studies including VUB study report slightly more risks compared to spontaneous conceptions
• Why? Major reason is subfertility status, possible role of use of ovarian stimulation and environmental factors such as culture conditions in the laboratory
Studies in children and adults

• 5 years: ICSI does not affect psychological well-being or cognitive development, no difference comparing IVF and ICSI as well as ICSI and SC

• 8-14 years: reassuring results regarding testicular development and hormonal status (inhibin B, AMH and testosterone)

• ICSI men at 18 years: increased risk of elevated FSH, sperm concentration lower but no correlation between sperm concentration fathers and sons

• More work needs to be done, differences may increase or decrease
• Further studies are necessary
• In adults and beyond
• Further generations
• Other ART procedures are currently used: vitrification, in vitro maturation and PGD need also to be addressed
PREIMPLANTATION GENETIC DIAGNOSIS PGD

• 1985 Polymerase chain reaction allows single cell genetics
• 1990 first PGD (Handyside and Winston)
• 1992 VUB PGD for CF
• Before 1990 only genetic counselling and prenatal diagnosis available for couples at risk
• Since 1990 PGD can be offered and includes ART, embryo biopsy and genetic diagnosis
• Case history VUB: 2 births in family at risk for DMD; 20-year old daughter came with her mother for counselling around carriersonship
• Technology evolved: initially PCR – FISH – linked markers, now SNP and NGS
• Embryo biopsy: initially at 8-cell stage on day 3, now also trophectoderm biopsy on day 5
• New nomenclature: PGT = preimplantation genetic testing
  • PGT-M for monogenic disorders
  • PGT-SR for structural rearrangements
  • PGT- A for aneuploidy
• Informed consent very detailed, sometimes difficult cases involving ethical issues
• « Parents decide but well-being of child is very important
Cycles DPI VUB 1993 - 2018

Genetic Counselling: Past
Enfants DPI VUB 1094-2017
• Health of PGD children
• PGD compared to ICSI and SC gave similar results in VUB data and literature
• At birth
• At 2 years
• At 5-6 years
HLA typing in view of saviour babies

• With or without monogenic disorders
• Results VUB in the period 1998 – 2016
• 234 requests but 72 couples discontinued
• 25% just HLA, 75 % with monogenic diseases (sickle cell anemia, Beta-thalassemia and Fanconi)
• 162 couples treated in 414 cycles: 67 pregnancies, 64 births and 73 children of which 60 HLA compatible
• 25 transplantations
• Thanks to the many colleagues of the CRM and CMG for a skillful collaboration over the years
• Thanks for grants in different projects
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